

Message

From: Brandt, Kristin (NWD) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KRISTIN.M.BRANDT]
Sent: 1/28/2011 9:52:54 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Sonja.Farak]
Subject: FW: v. [REDACTED]

Hi Sonja,

My name is Kristin Brandt and I am the prosecutor assigned to trials in Northampton on February 17, 2010. Tina LeBlanc actually resolved her case today. So, it will not be going to trial on the 17th, and we will not need you to testify in this case.

Thanks for getting in touch about this.

Kristin

Kristin M. Brandt
Assistant District Attorney

*This e-mail message is generated from the **Office of the Northwestern District Attorney** and contains information that is confidential and may be privileged as an attorney/client communication or as attorney work product. The information is intended to be disclosed solely to the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this email information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by return email and delete it from your computer system.*

From: Rathbun, Scott (NWD)
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 4:04 PM
To: Brandt, Kristin (NWD)
Subject: FW: v. Tina LeBlanc (docket # 1045CR1311)

Please contact Sonya - k bye

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2011 7:41 AM
To: Rathbun, Scott (NWD)
Subject: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Scott-

I wanted to let you know that I received your summons for the [REDACTED] case scheduled for trial on Fe. 17, 2011, but I may have a date/place conflict as I've already been summonsed to Wareham District Court that day. I know that it is still a few weeks off, but I wanted to give you as much notice as possible. I'm also going to get in touch with the ADA there to see what the status is of that case and the likelihood that I'll actually be needed to testify. I'll keep you posted.

Also, in the [REDACTED] case, the drug lab number given on the summons is [REDACTED] = residue in 1 smoking apparatus. When I looked that submission up, I noticed that [REDACTED] (vegetable matter in 1 pb) has the same 3 defendants listed ([REDACTED]). Is [REDACTED] also part of this case? Thanks.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab